מישידעוו	STATES	DISTRICT	COURT
UNLLIN		アナロナバナクマ	OCCIL

				'
***************************************	Northern I	District of	Oklahoma	:
United Stat	es of America) .	Criminal No. 75-	CR-52
Vs		}	FIL IN OPEN	E D
SHIRLEY AI	NN COLE)		VO :
			Jack C. Silve	r. Clerk
	ORDI	ER FOR DISMI	U. S. DISTRIC	T COURT
Pursua	nt to Rule 48(a)) of the Fed	eral Rules of Crimina	1
Procedure a	nd by leave of o	court endors	ed hereon the United	States
Attorney fo	r the <u>Northe</u>	rn Dis	trict of Oklahoma	<u></u>
hereby dism	isses the Coun	t I of the dictment, in	e Indictment formation, complaint)	against
Shirle	y Ann Cole	defen	dant.	

NATHAN G. GRAHAM United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

United States District Judge

Date: April 29, 1975

United States o	f America vs. United States	District Court fo
DEFENDANT	SAM WILBURN FREEMAN DOCKET NO.	TRICT_OF_OKLAHOMA
	JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMEN	
	In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date	MONTH DAY YEAR
COUNSEL	WITHOUT COUNSEL However the court advised defendant of right to counsel have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereup WITH COUNSEL (Name of counsel)	on waived assistance of counsel.
PLEA	GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,	FILED WHAT EUILTY 2 9 1975
	There being a finding/verdict of UNITY. Defendant is discharged	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U. S. DISTRICT COURT
FINDING & JUDGMENT (Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of having violated Sections 1708 and 495, as charged in Counts 1, 2 Information.	Title 18, U.S.C., and 3 of the
SENTENCE OR	The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. It was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and completely committed to the custody of the Attorney General of his authorized representative for imprise Count One - Three (3) Years Count Two - Three (3) Years Count Three - Three (3) Years	victed and ordered that: The defendant is
PROBATION ORDER	IT IS FURTHER ADJUDGED that the sentence impo and Three shall run concurrently with the sentenc Count One.	sed in Counts Two e imposed in
SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION		
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the gene reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.	or extend the negled of probation, and at
COMMITMENT RECOMMEN- DATION	The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,	It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Mar- shal or other qualified officer.
SIGNED BY	rt Judge	CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON THIS DATE
U.S. Magist	Date	() CLERK () DEPUTY

United States o	f America vs. United States	District Co	urt fo
DEFENDANT	>	STRICT OF OKLAB	OMA
SCIENDAN!	REMA KING		
	DOCKET NO. ➤ L	75-CR-27	···
	JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMEN	IT ORDER AC	2×5 (6)74)
	In the presence of the attorney for the government	MONTH DAY	YEAR
	the defendant appeared in person on this date	4 29	75
COUNSEL	WITHOUT COUNSEL However the court advised defendant of right to counsel have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereup	and asked whether defendan	it desired to
لـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	WITH COUNSEL Charles Whitmen (Name of counsel)		
PLEA	GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that NOLO CONTENDERE,	NOT GUILTY	
	there is a factual basis for the plea,	FILE	D
	There being a finding/perdict of GUILTY. Defendant is discharged LX: GUILTY.	APR 2 9 1975	5
	There being a finding bending to the Court of the Court o		
	Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of having violated	Jack C. Silver, C	ierk O G or
FINDING &	Section 2312, as charged in the Indictment.		אחטנ
JUDGMENT (It is the finding of the Court that the defende	ant is the age	of 20
	years, subject to the Youth Correction Act, and it finding of the Court that the defendant would not	t is the further	r iste
	benefit from treatment under the Youth Correction		
	fore sentenced under the applicable statute. The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced.	Because no sufficient cause to	the contrar
	was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and con	victed and ordered that: The	defendant
	hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General of his authorized representative for imprise period of Five (5) Years, for a study as described		
į	Section 4208(c), the results of such study to be i	furnished this (Court
SENTENCE	within 90 days, whereupon the sentence of imprisor > may be subject to modification in accordance with	ment herein im	p osed C
OR PROBATION	Section 4208(b).	12020 20, 0.0.	. ,
ORDER			
!			
SPECIAL CONDITIONS			
OF			
PROBATION			
ADDITIONAL			
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS	In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the gen reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduc-		
OF PROBATION	any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitt probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.		
	The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,	It is ordered that the Cle	irk daliver
COMMITMENT		a certified copy of this	judgment
RECOMMEN-		and commitment to the shal or other qualified of	
DATION			
	,	CERTIFIED AS A TRUE C	OPY ON
SIGNED BY	tot luctor	THIS DATE	
U.S. Distr	ict Juage	IIIIS UMIE	
L U.S. Magis	strate	l ву	CLERK
	Date Anr41 29 1975		DEPUTY

United States of		District Court for
DEFENDANT	> JACKIE EUGENE MADEWELL	RICT OF OKLAHOMA
	□ DOCKET NO. → □	74-CR-112
	JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMEN	T ORDER AO 245 (6/74)
	In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date	MONTH DAY YEAR - 4- 29 75
COUNSEL	However the court advised defendant of right to counsel a have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon with the court and the defendant thereupon with the court and the defendant thereupon with the court advised defendant of right to counsel a have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant of right to counsel a have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant of right to counsel a have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant of right to counsel a have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant of right to counsel a have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant of right to counsel a have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant of right to counsel a have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon the court and the defendant there are a supplied by the court and the defendant the supplied by the court and the defendant the supplied by the court and the supplied by the supplied by	nd asked whether defendant desired to on waived assistance of counsel.
	(Name of counsel)	F-F-E-E-D-
PLEA	GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,	NOT & 2.9-1975
	There being a finding/FATAX of \(\text{\text{\$\subset\$NOT GUILTY.}} \) Defendant is discharged \(\text{\text{\$\subset\$X\$}} \) GUILTY.	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U. S. DISTRICT COURT
FINDING & JUDGMENT	Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of having violate Section 2313, as charged in Count One and Two of	d T. 18, U.S.C., the indictment.
		# ************************************
SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER	was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convered committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprise Count 1 - Four (4) years, and further ordered may become eligible for parole at such time as the may determine as provided in T. 18, U.S.C., Section Count 2 - Four (4) years, and further ordered may become eligible for parole at such time as the may determine as provided in T. 18, U.S.C., Section to run consecutively with sentence imposed in Count	that the defendant e board of parole on 4208(a)(2). that the Defendant e board of parole on 4208(a)(2), at 1.
SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	IT IS FURTHER ADJUDGED that a 90 day Tribbet be furnished to this Court.	made and
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the gene reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitte probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.	or extend the period of probation, and at
COMMITMENT RECOMMEN- DATION	The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends, placement in a vocational training institution, preferably where a course in veterinarian technology is offered.	It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Mar- shal or other qualified officer.
SIGNED BY	ct Judge Cle Server Date 4-29-75	CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON THIS DATE () CLERK () DEPUTY

	UNITED S	STATES DISTRICT	COURT	IN OPEN COUR
	Northern	District of	Oklahoma	APR 1 6 1975
				Jack C. Silver, Olyans
			PP	Jack C. Silvery CARRACE. U. S. DISTRICT COURT
			8 9	- CR
United	States of America	}	Criminal No.	
	vs.	}		Alichan

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal

Procedure and by leave of court endorsed hereon the United States

Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma Information hereby dismisses XXX Count IV, V, & VI of the / against (indictment, information, complaint)

Sam Wilburn Freeman. defendant.

NATHAN G. GRAHAM United States Attorney

Ben 7. Baken Asst. United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

United States District Judge

Date: Spil 16, 1975

SAM WILBURN FREEMAN

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	70.7 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -)
vs.	Plaintiff,) NO. 72-CR-112
JOHN WILLIAM MARX and WILLIAM FREDERICK SHRIVER,		EILED
The state of the s	Defendants.) APR 1 0 1975

Jack C. Silver, Clark U. S. DISTRICT COURT

ORDER NUNC PRO TUNC

Having reviewed the Order dated March 28, 1975, overruling the Defendant William Frederick Shriver's motion for correction of an illegal sentence, the Court finds that the Order should be reaffirmed. However, the Court further finds that said Order should have informed the defendant that if by said motion he sought release, rather than resentencing, upon the ground that his sentence herein was imposed in violation of the Fifth Amendment of the Constitution of the United States, the proper procedure for such action is pursuant to Title 28, United States Code, Section 2255, rather than Rule 35, F.R.Cr.P.; and, the Clerk should have been directed to forward the proper § 2255 blank forms to Mr. Shriver in accordance with Rule 6 of the Local Court Rules.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that the Court's Order dated March 28, 1975, be and it is hereby reaffirmed.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court forward § 2255 blank forms to William Frederick Shriver for his completion and filing if by his motion he sought release from confinement on constitutional grounds rather than resentence.

Dated this 10 Hday of April, 1975, at Tulsa, Oklahoma.

CHIEF JUDGE, UNITED STATES DISTRICT

COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
vs.) NO.	75-CR-14
CECIL RICKEY JACKSON, et al.,	Defendant.)))	EILED
			APR 9 1975

ORDER

Jack C. Silver, Clerk

The Court has for consideration a motion of the Defendant Cecil Rickey Jackson for discretionary modification of sentence pursuant to Rule 35, Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure. Following hearing thereon and being fully advised in the premises, the Court finds that the motion should be sustained.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that the Judgment and Sentence entered herein on March 5, 1975, be and it is hereby modified to read as follows:

IT IS ADJUDGED that the Defendant Cecil Rickey Jackson does not need confinement. Therefore, the imposition of sentence is suspended and the said defendant is placed on probation for a period of 30 months pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 5010(a).

It is a condition of probation that the Defendant make restitution in the amount of \$69.95 by a payment to the office of the United States Court Clerk in Tulsa, Oklahoma, at \$5.00 a month, to begin the second month after institutional release, until the \$69.95 is paid in full.

IT IS SO ORDERED in open Court the 8th day of April, 1975, at Tulsa, Oklahoma, and the Defendant Cecil Rickey Jackson is released to probation this date.

CHIEF JUDGE, UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

1

FENDANT	BOB LEE BAK		· 		DOCKET NO	». ➤ ∟	75-CR-35	<u> </u>	
	JUDGMEN	T AND P	ROBAT	TION/C	оммг	IMENT	r ORDE	R AO	245 (577)
	In the presence of the the defendant appears	ed in person on th	nis date				монтн	BAY	75
COUNSEL	WITHOUT COUNSE	have co		ed by the court		ant thereupor	d asked whether waived assistant	ce of counse	l.
PLEA	there is a factua	he court being sa al basis for the ple	ea,		OLO CONTE		Jac	APR 8 GUILTY k C. Silve DISTRIC	1975 er, Cler
	There being a finding	Watatikoo of { L	NOT G X GUILT	UILTY. Defe Y.	ndant is discl	narged	5. 0.	LIOINIO	7 GUU
INDING & UDGMENT	Defendant has been c Section 2313				_		1 T. 18,	U.S.C.	•
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		or gordinadit ilau di da aka sawa aka	court adjudge	d the defendant	guilty as char	ged and conv	icted and ordere	d that: Tigo	or from
OR >	The court asked whether was shown, or appeared restricted. The imposition unsupervi	xxxxxxxixx i on of sent	ence is	zzzzzz suspend	r kyyyyyy led and	the def	Crriftiff Cendant i	eker.	ed
OR ROBATION	was shown, or appeared human remarkable. The impositi	xxxxxxxixx i on of sent	ence is	zzzzzz suspend	r kyyyyyy led and	the def	Crriftiff Cendant i	eker.	ed.
OR ROBATION ORDER SPECIAL ONDITIONS OF	was shown, or appeared human remarkable. The impositi	xxxxxxxixx i on of sent	ence is	suspend a perio	r kyyyyyy led and	the def	Crriftiff Cendant i	eker.	æđ
OR ROBATION ORDER SPECIAL ONDITIONS OF ROBATION DDITIONAL ONDITIONS OF	was shown, or appeared human remarkable. The impositi	ial conditions of promote the imposed.	cence is ion for the Court may within a maximum.	ed above, it is ly change the conjum probation	hereby ordered	that the generation, reduce	ral conditions of or extend the per	probation s	et out oi
OR ROBATION ORDER SPECIAL DIDITIONS OF ROBATION OF ROBATION	In addition to the spec reverse side of this judgany time during the or	ial conditions of proment be imposed. obstion period or no occurring during to	cence is ion for The Court may within a maxim the probation p	ed above, it is ly change the conjum probation period.	hereby ordered ditions of protocol of five y	that the gene pation, reduce years permitte	ral conditions of or extend the per	probation seriod of probasue a warran	et out or pation, ar ant and re erk delive judgmen U.S. Ma
ROBATION ORDER SPECIAL ONDITIONS OF ROBATION ORDITIONS OF PROBATION	In addition to the spec reverse side of this judy any time during the proposition for a violation. The court orders con	ial conditions of proment be imposed. obstion period or no occurring during to	cence is ion for The Court may within a maxim the probation p	ed above, it is ly change the conjum probation period.	hereby ordered ditions of protocol of five y	that the gene pation, reduce years permitte	rendant interest in the period by law, may is lit is ordered a certified cand commit	probation seriod of probasue a warrant that the Cleaner to the qualified of	erk delive judgmer U.S. Ma

ited States of	America vs.		s District Court fo
EFENDANT }	MARTIN MICHAEL YOUNG		ISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
		DOCKET NO.	
	JUDGMENT AND PROB	BATION/COMMITME	NT ORDER AO 245 to 245
	In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date		MONTH DAY YEAR 4 8 75
COUNSEL	WITHOUT COUNSEL However the have counsel ap		eupon waived assistance of counsel.
PLEA	LALI GUILTY, and the court being satisfied to there is a factual basis for the plea,	(Name of counsel)	
	There being a limit werdict of \(\bigcup \limit \ \bigcup \limit \ \bigcup \bigcup \bigcup \limit \ \bigcup \	OT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged	
INDING & UDGMENT	Defendant has been convicted as charged of the Section 841, as charged in	ne offense(s) of having violation.	FILE D
			APR 8 1975
			Jack C. Silver, Clerk U. S. DISTRICT COURT
SENTENCE OR ROBATION ORDER	Observation and study at a agency, the results of such to days, pursuant to the Fe in T. 18, U.S.C., Section 5 IT IS FURTHER ADJUDGED that May 12, 1975, at 9:30 A.M., nimself to the U.S. Marshal	study to be furnished deral Youth Correction 010(e). execution of sentence at which time defendance	the Court within Act, as provided be deferred until
SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION			
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	In addition to the special conditions of probation reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Cou any time during the probation period or within a probation for a violation occurring during the proba	irt may change the conditions of probation, re maximum probation period of five years per	educe or extend the beriod of brodation, and
OMMITMENT RECOMMEN- DATION	The court orders commitment to the custody	of the Attorney General and recommen	It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Mar- shal or other qualified officer.
GNED BY U.S. Distr			CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON THIS DATE
	7		() CLERK

United States	of America vs. United States	Distric	t Cou	rt fo
DEFENDANT	JAMESETTA HARRIS	RICT OF O	AMOHAIN	<u>-</u> — —
	L DOCKET NO. ▶ L	74-CR-	L33	
	JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMEN	T ORDER	AO 24	5 (6:70)
***************************************	In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date	MONTH	DAY 8	YEAR 75
COUNSEL	WITHOUT COUNSEL However the court advised defendant of right to counsel a have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereup. X WITH COUNSEL O. B. Graham	and asked whether on waived assistance	defendant d of counsel.	esired to
PLEA	GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,	F I NOT G APR	L E UILTY 8 1975	D
	There being a finding trains of \(\bigcup \lambda \text{NOT GUILTY.} \text{ Defendant is discharged} \\ \bigcup \bigcup \text{GUILTY.} \)	Jack C. U.S. DIS	Silver, Cle TR <mark>ICT C</mark> O	erk URT
FINDING & JUDGMENT	Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of having violate Section 1702, as charged in Counts 1,2, & 3 of the	d T. 18, U indictm é n	.s.c.,	
	The Court finds that the Defendant would not deriv	e benefit	under	
	The court asked whether defendant had anything to any juby judgment should not be produced.	Anna de la conferencia	: .	. <u>.</u> <u>.</u>
4	The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. E was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and conversely committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprise	victed and ordered to onment for a period	hat: The defe of	contrary endant is
	Count 1 - Three (3) years, and further ordered that may become eligible for parole at such to	t the Defe	ndant	
SENTENCE OR	parole may determine as provided in T. 1: 4208(a)(2).	8, v.s.c.,	Section	on
PROBATION ORDER	Count 2 - The imposition of sentence is suspended	and the De	fendant	5
	is placed on probation for a period of To commence at expiration of sentence impose	ed in Coun	t 1.	
	Count 3 - The imposition of sentence is suspended a is placed on probation for a period of To	and the De	fendant rs to	*
SPECIAL	run concurrently with sentance imposed in	Count 2.		
CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	The condition of probation is that the Defendant pa \$382.00, in monthly payments of \$25.00 to the U. S. posseque within 18 months after probation begins.	ay a fine : . Clerk's	of affice,	ŀ
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the gene reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitte probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.	or extend the period	d of probation	n and at
	The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,			
COMMITMENT RECOMMEN- DATION		It is ordered that a certified copy and commitmer shal or other qu	of this judge it to the U.S.	ment Mar-
)	CERTIFIED AS A	TRUE COPY	ON
SIGNED BY LLXJ U.S. Distri	ict Judge	THIS DATE		
L U.S. Magis	trate Description of the state	By		. <u> </u>
	Date	- -	() CLE	
	A CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY OF T			· · ·

United States of A	merica vs.		District Court for
DEFENDANT	JAMES G. MARTIN	DOCKET NO.	74-CR-131
	SUDCALENT AND DOORAT		TARACR
	JUDGMENT AND PROBAT	TON/COMMITTINEN	
	In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date		MONTH DAY YEAR 75
COUNSEL	WITHOUT COUNSEL However the court ac have counsel appointed X WITH COUNSEL Irvine Ungere	by the court and the defendant thereupo	nd asked whether defendant desired to n waived assistance of counsel.
	WITH COUNSEL LEE LE SEL	(Name of counsel)	E I I E
PLEA	GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,	NOLO CONTENDERE,	APR 8 1975
	There being a finding/***** of \\ \times \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	LTY. Defendant is discharged	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U. S. DISTRICT COURT
FINDING & JUDGMENT	Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offer Section 500, as charged in cou 14,15 and 16 of the indictment	ise(s) of having violate ints 1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,	d T. 18, U.S.C., 9,10,11,12,13,
}			
SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	Counts 2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,	entence is suspended ion for a period of 0 12,13,14,15 & 16 - The and the Defendant iod of One (1) year sentences imposed in o	and the Defendant One (1) year. The imposition of is placed on as to each count.
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	In addition to the special conditions of probation imposes reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may any time during the probation period or within a maximular probation for a violation occurring during the probation pe	m probation period of five years permit	
	The court orders commitment to the custody of the	Attorney General and recommends,	It is ordered that the Clerk deliver
COMMITMENT RECOMMEN- DATION			a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Mar- shal or other qualified officer.
	J		CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON
SIGNED BY	ict Judge		THIS DATE
U.S. Magi	strate allow & Box	ears	By
		Date 4-8-75	() DEPUTY

EILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE

APR 8 1975

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Jack C. Silver, Clerk U. S. DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	, T
)	m- a- 00
vs.)	73 - CR-80
WALTER LEE BROWN)	
WALLER LEE DROWN)	

REVOCATION OF PROBATION

On the 10th day of July, 1973, came the attorney for the government and the defendant appeared in person and was represented by counsel, Ainslie Perrault, Jr.

It was adjudged that the defendant, upon his plea of guilty, had been convicted of the offense of having violated Title 18, U.S.C., Section 2313, in that on or about April 1, 1971, at Tulsa, Oklahoma, in the Northern District of Oklahoma, he did receive and conceal a motor vehicle, to wit: a 1965 Ford Mustang, vehicle indentification No. 5F07F198523, which said motor vehicle was moved in interstate commerce from Independence, Kansas, to Tulsa, Oklahoma; knowing same to have been stolen, as charged in the Information.

It was adjudged that the defendant was guilty as charged and convicted.

It was adjudged that the imposition of sentence be suspended and the defendant was placed on probation for a period of Three (3) years to begin at the expiration of sentence in count One in Criminal Case No. 73-CR-77.

Now, on this 8th day of April, 1975, came the attorney for the government and the defendant appeared in person and was represented by counsel, Ainslie Perrault, Jr. And it being shown to the Court that the defendant has violated the terms and conditions of said probation,

IT IS ADJUDGED that the order of probation be revoked and the defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for a period of Three (3) years, and further ordered that the Defendant may become eligible for parole at such time as the board of parole may determine as provided in T. 18, U.S.C., Section 4208(a)(2). (It is the intent of this Court that Defendant be given credit for the time he has already served at the Tulsa County Jail, which time will be applied to the Three (3) year sentence imposed herein.)

IT IS ORDERED that the Clerk of this Court deliver a certified copy of this Order to the U. S. Marshal or other qualified officer to serve as the commitment of this defendant.

Chief United States District Judge

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

EDWARD LEE SWEDENSKY

Defendant.

No. 74-CR-69 -FILED
APK 7 19/5

ORDER

Jack C. Silver, Clerk U. S. DISTRICT COURT

The Court has for consideration correspondence from the Defendant which the Court is treating as a motion for reduction or modification of sentence pursuant to Rule 35, Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.

After review of the file herein and the response of the government to said motion, the Court upon study and reflection, and being fully advised in the premises, finds that the motion should be sustained.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that the Judgment and Sentence entered herein on February 20, 1975, be and it is hereby modified to read as follows:

IT IS ADJUDGED that the defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonment for a period of two (2) years.

IT IS FURTHER ADJUDGED that the defendant pay a fine unto the United States in the amount of \$1,000.00, and the defendant is to stand committed until the fine is paid in full, or he is otherwise discharged under due process of law.

Dated this ______ day of April, 1975, at Tulsa, Oklahoma.

H. Dale Cook

United States District Judge